South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services
Office of Research and Evaluation

Annual Statistical Report

FY 2025

Jake Gadsden, Director
293 Greystone Blvd, Columbia, SC 29210
PO BOX 207 Columbia, SC 29202
803-734-9220
www.dppps.sc.gov

Proprietary Disclaimer

This document (including all data and statistical information represented herein) was compiled and reported by the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services. Any reproduction or republication of said report, data, or statistics is permitted only if reproduced in its entirety without modification, or omission, and must identify the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services as the source.

Research and Evaluation

LaQuenta Weldon Fuller, Senior Statistical and Research Analyst
803.734.4057 | LaQuenta.Weldon@ppp.sc.gov

Table of Contents

Offender Statistics

Admissions 7

Actives 8-9

Closures 10

10 Year Trends (Admissions, Actives & Closures) 11

Drug Testing 12

Specialized Caseload Statistics

Sex Offenders 14-15

Domestic Violence Offenders 16-17

Mental Health Offenders 18-19

Other Statistics

Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) 21

Administrative Hearings 22

Monetary Collections 23

Recidivism Rates 24

Introduction

Fiscal Year 2025 (FY 2025) began July 1, 2024 and ended June 30, 2025. During the fiscal year, 82% of our offenders successfully completed supervision.

The department operates its offender programs within a clear framework of public safety in supervising the 73,820 offenders under our legal jurisdiction. Legal jurisdiction includes offenders who were transferred out of state, absconded with active warrants, and others who are not under the active day-to-day supervision. At the end of the fiscal year, 23,246 offenders were under active supervision of the department. The description of active supervision represents only those offenders who had at least one active case on June 30, 2025. Our responses to offender risk and needs in the community are focused to address present or potential problems that may interfere with the successful completion of supervision without compromising public safety. We maintain a fundamental belief that given support, resources, and service interventions, the offender has the ability to make positive changes in his or her life.

The following tables provide a description of the offender population and answer some commonly asked questions regarding the department's programmatic efforts. Each table is preceded by a short description of its contents. The reader should be aware that there are different ways of reporting units of data depending upon the purpose. Admissions include only those offenders admitted to SCDPPPS who had no other active cases at the time of admission. Closures information reflects only the last order to close during the fiscal year.

In addition, due to rounding, some of the totals will not equal 100%. For additional information or clarification, please contact LaQuenta Fuller in Research and Evaluation at 803-734-4057.

Explanation of Program Types

Probation: Includes Probation, Conditional Discharged to Probation, Probation Terminated Upon Payment (PTUP), Split Probation (admitted to probation with a split sentence from prison), and Monitor for the Court.

Parole: Includes Parole.

Other: Includes YOA, Community Supervision Program, Supervised Furlough-2, Supervised Furlough-2A, Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and early release program cases.

FY2025 Offender Statistics

Admissions

Actives


Closures

Drug Testing

FY25 Admission Statistics
Total Admissions: 16,804


These charts count admissions to a particular sanction and include only those offenders admitted who had no other active case(s) at the time of admission. These tables also include only the main case even though an offender may have been admitted with more than one case.

Admissions by Program Type



The majority (87%) of offenders admitted were sentenced to probation in lieu of incarceration by the courts. Non-violent offenses (89%), offenders aged at least 25 years old (87%), and black males (41%) accounted for most admissions.

Admissions by Race & Gender




Admissions by County

County	Probation	Parole	Other	Total
ABBEVILLE	92	2	17	111
AIKEN	519	24	46	589
ALLENDALE	35	1	4	40
ANDERSON	665	22	50	737
BAMBERG	38	2	5	45
BARNWELL	63	3	9	75
BEAUFORT	321	4	24	349
BERKELEY	651	9	70	730
CALHOUN	76	3	1	80
CHARLESTON	896	13	107	1,016
CHEROKEE	404	11	33	448
CHESTER	116	3	14	133
CHESTERFIELD	126	5	8	139
CLARENDON	82	2	7	91
COLLETON	219	2	11	232
DARLINGTON	194	10	28	232
DILLON	83	8	9	100
DORCHESTER	406	8	45	459
EDGEFIELD	74	5	5	84
FAIRFIELD	108	4	18	130
FLORENCE	313	17	51	381
GEORGETOWN	84	2	24	110
GREENVILLE	1,564	33	197	1,794
GREENWOOD	297	6	27	330
HAMPTON	58	1	7	66
HORRY	634	58	104	796
JASPER	135	0	5	140
KERSHAW	231	4	20	255
LANCASTER	287	6	32	325
LAURENS	281	6	32	319
LEE	29	2	5	36
LEXINGTON	670	17	80	767
McCORMICK	29	1	2	32
MARION	70	2	12	84
MARLBORO	120	2	11	133
NEWBERRY	56	2	10	68
OCONEE	306	12	30	348
ORANGEBURG	348	16	48	412
PICKENS	522	11	38	571
RICHLAND	870	34	159	1,063
SALUDA	28	1	7	36
SPARTANBURG	1,277	22	156	1,455
SUMTER	254	6	69	329
UNION	93	3	15	111
WILLIAMSBURG	41	1	16	58
YORK	746	29	92	867
CENTRAL	28	5	65	98
	14,539	440	1,825	16,804

Active Offender Statistics
Total Actives: 23,246


Actives offenders describe all active offenders by level of supervision and program type on June 30, 2025. This total does not include indirect supervision offenders, such as those incarcerated on split sentences.

Total Actives by Program Type



The majority (89%) of active offenders were sentenced to probation through the courts.

Total Actives by Supervision Level



Standard risk supervision accounted for 57% of the active population, 13% were medium risk, and 17% were high risk. In terms of specialized populations, 7% were domestic violence offenders, 4% sex offenders, and 1% mental health offenders

Active Offender Contact Standards

The level of supervision determines how often the agent has contact with the offender.

STANDARD RISK	MEDIUM RISK	HIGH RISK	INTENSIVE
Following the initial home contact, the primary form of communication with this supervision level should be of electronic/ remote means.	*One home visit progress audit every other month.*	*Two contacts every month, one of which must be a home visit progress audit.*	*Three contacts every month, two of which must be home visit progress audit.*

Contact standards for speciaized caseloads can be found in the specialized caseloads section.


Actives by Supervision Level & County

County	Standard	Medium	High	Domestic Violence	Sex Offender	Mental Health	Intensive	Pending Out-of-State	Total
ABBEVILLE	71	23	21	0	4	0	1	0	120
AIKEN	602	102	100	45	31	0	3	8	891
ALLENDALE	43	5	7	0	4	0	0	0	59
ANDERSON	757	154	211	30	40	19	2	6	1,219
BAMBERG	46	7	11	0	1	0	0	0	65
BARNWELL	88	9	16	0	6	0	2	0	121
BEAUFORT	220	35	19	0	9	0	1	1	285
BERKELEY	522	119	176	50	27	16	7	1	918
CALHOUN	65	5	1	0	2	0	1	0	74
CHARLESTON	615	246	415	167	45	35	20	3	1,546
CHEROKEE	319	63	104	39	11	21	9	3	569
CHESTER	95	21	33	0	9	3	3	0	164
CHESTERFIELD	123	30	16	0	2	0	0	3	174
CLARENDON	92	14	12	0	16	11	0	2	147
COLLETON	169	38	18	0	7	0	0	2	234
DARLINGTON	179	40	37	0	13	8	3	0	280
DILLON	112	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	130
DORCHESTER	399	79	86	74	28	13	7	1	687
EDGEFIELD	62	9	6	4	8	0	0	1	90
FAIRFIELD	77	14	16	0	7	4	1	1	120
FLORENCE	368	58	43	39	18	14	2	3	545
GEORGETOWN	108	28	8	8	10	3	1	0	166
GREENVILLE	999	487	875	214	61	0	19	6	2,661
GREENWOOD	312	65	40	42	8	0	2	0	469
HAMPTON	66	10	6	0	6	0	0	2	90
HORRY	605	128	72	40	68	21	1	6	941
JASPER	76	17	16	0	1	0	2	1	113
KERSHAW	204	29	25	0	21	0	5	0	284
LANCASTER	165	52	60	0	11	3	2	6	299
LAURENS	262	47	36	25	21	0	1	1	393
LEE	63	4	2	0	3	3	0	0	75
LEXINGTON	566	171	247	134	49	0	17	12	1,196
McCORMICK	38	4	3	1	0	0	2	0	48
MARION	74	13	4	4	2	2	0	0	99
MARLBORO	119	9	10	0	0	0	2	1	141
NEWBERRY	58	7	10	14	6	0	1	1	97
OCONEE	433	111	104	22	28	18	1	1	718
ORANGEBURG	411	54	55	136	18	0	4	2	680
PICKENS	394	140	114	41	30	27	1	4	751
RICHLAND	971	149	284	133	44	21	10	0	1,612
SALUDA	39	6	5	3	1	0	2	0	56
SPARTANBURG	1,324	203	434	250	72	28	14	11	2,336
SUMTER	310	103	55	28	38	19	1	4	558
UNION	55	34	30	17	11	8	0	0	155
WILLIAMSBURG	71	9	1	0	2	0	0	0	83
YORK	442	79	113	56	37	20	12	28	787
	13,189	3,042	3,963	1,616	836	317	162	121	23,246


Closure Statistics
FY25 Total Closures: 14,771

Closures include only offenders in which all cases have completely closed out from SCDPPPS. Only the main case within the last order to close was included in analysis.

Total Closures Rate



Closure Rates by Order Type





The overall success rate for all offenders closing during FY25 was 82%. The unsuccessful rate, 18%, is defined as those offenders whose supervision was revoked due to a technical violation or new offense.


Closures by County

County	Probation	Parole	Other	Total
ABBEVILLE	93	3	7	103
AIKEN	351	11	46	408
ALLENDALE	16	0	1	17
ANDERSON	649	11	55	715
BAMBERG	35	1	4	40
BARNWELL	40	3	6	49
BEAUFORT	191	0	18	209
BERKELEY	489	8	59	556
CALHOUN	70	4	1	75
CHARLESTON	736	13	91	840
CHEROKEE	286	4	30	320
CHESTER	79	5	18	102
CHESTERFIELD	144	4	9	157
CLARENDON	79	0	9	88
COLLETON	197	1	16	214
DARLINGTON	173	2	29	204
DILLON	61	3	14	78
DORCHESTER	360	5	32	397
EDGEFIELD	62	0	3	65
FAIRFIELD	94	1	7	102
FLORENCE	392	9	62	463
GEORGETOWN	101	6	18	125
GREENVILLE	1,512	26	167	1,705
GREENWOOD	322	6	38	366
HAMPTON	33	0	5	38
HORRY	491	21	98	610
JASPER	87	1	4	92
KERSHAW	94	5	13	112
LANCASTER	175	2	24	201
LAURENS	272	3	29	304
LEE	36	2	11	49
LEXINGTON	540	13	66	619
McCORMICK	32	0	4	36
MARION	77	3	14	94
MARLBORO	92	1	8	101
NEWBERRY	84	1	14	99
OCONEE	318	3	35	356
ORANGEBURG	385	5	40	430
PICKENS	517	1	45	563
RICHLAND	672	21	160	853
SALUDA	40	0	7	47
SPARTANBURG	959	24	133	1,116
SUMTER	243	7	77	327
UNION	119	1	15	135
WILLIAMSBURG	50	2	15	67
YORK	709	14	82	805
CENTRAL	235	13	71	319
	12,792	269	1,710	14,771

10 Year Trends







FY25 Offender Drug Testing Statistics

Total Offenders Tested: 21,269

Total Offenders Tested Positive: 10,400 (49%)

SCDPPPS uses drug and alcohol testing to serve as a deterrent to drug and alcohol use by offenders. Testing is also used to detect current drug use. New admissions to supervision are tested on-site to detect marijuana, cocaine, opiates, amphetamines, methamphetamine, and benzodiazepines, and fentanyl. On-site initial testing will be conducted within 30 days of admission as part of the intake process.

Subsequent testing of offenders occurs if:

- The offender has a positive test result or has confessed to the use of illicit drugs and/or excessive alcohol use.
- The offender has demonstrated, through past criminal or personal records, that illicit drug use and/or excessive alcohol use is likely.
- The supervising agent/offender supervision specialist has a documented reason for suspecting illicit drug use and/or excessive or illicit alcohol use.
- Offenders who are released from a South Carolina Department of Corrections Addictions Treatment Unit or an inpatient treatment facility may be tested at a greater frequency for a period of six months.
- Offenders who are currently receiving alcohol and drug treatment on an outpatient basis will be tested randomly at the discretion of the agent/offender supervision specialist.

Total Positive Tests: 25,248

Of the 25,248 positive drug tests, majority (33%) tested positive for marijuana followed by methamphetamine (21%), amphetamine (20%), cocaine (10%), benzo (5%), fentanyl, (6%), and opiates (2%).

Drug	Number of Positive Tests	Percent of Positive Tests
Marijuana (THC)	8,252	33%
Methamphetamine	5,418	22%
Amphetamine	5,043	20%
Cocaine	2,445	10%
Benzo	1,193	6%
Fentanyl	1,495	6%
Opiates	547	2%
Other	855	<1%

**Other includes barbiturates, PCP, methadone, buprenorphine, oxycodone, and other.*

FY2025 Specialized Caseload Statistics

Sex Offenders


Domestic Violence

Mental Health

Sex Offender (SO) Statistics
Offenders Under SO Supervision: 836
Registered Sex Offenders: 1,020

The department is responsible for supervising offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions or released from incarceration on other supervision programs who have been convicted of sex offenses. SCDPPPS utilizes the **Sex Offender Management Program** to supervise sex offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a sex offense (82%). A male sex offender's level of supervision is determined by his score on the Static-99 risk assessment. Female sex offenders are supervised at the SO-High level of supervision for the entirety of their supervision period. The three levels of male sex offender supervision are SO-High (78%), SO-Intensive (21%), and SO-Containment (1%).

For those offenders currently under supervision for an offense that is not a sex offense but who are required to register as a sex offender for a previous offense, SCDPPPS provides **general supervision** according to the offender's risk assessment score (18%).





Sex Offender Contact Standards

The level of supervision determines how often the agent has contact with the offender.

Sex Offender High	Sex Offender Intensive	Sex Offender Containment
- 1 home visit progress audit monthly *- 1 home visit or field visit monthly* *- 1 Employment Verification monthly* *- 1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact Monthly*	*- 1 home visit progress audit monthly* *- 2 home visits or field visits monthly* *- 1 Employment Verification monthly* *- 1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact Monthly*	*- 1 home visit progress audit monthly* *- 2 home visits monthly* *- 1 Employment Verification monthly* *- 1 Face-to-Face Treatment Provider Contact Monthly*

Total Active Offenders Under Sex Offender Supervision


County	Sex Offender High	Sex Offender Intensive	Sex Offender Containment	Total
ABBEVILLE	4	0	0	4
AIKEN	31	0	0	31
ALLENDALE	3	1	0	4
ANDERSON	36	4	0	40
BAMBERG	1	0	0	1
BARNWELL	4	2	0	6
BEAUFORT	4	4	1	9
BERKELEY	24	3	0	27
CALHOUN	1	1	0	2
CHARLESTON	31	11	3	45
CHEROKEE	9	2	0	11
CHESTER	9	0	0	9
CHESTERFIELD	2	0	0	2
CLARENDON	14	2	0	16
COLLETON	4	3	0	7
DARLINGTON	11	2	0	13
DILLON	0	0	0	0
DORCHESTER	26	2	0	28
EDGEFIELD	5	3	0	8
FAIRFIELD	7	0	0	7
FLORENCE	15	3	0	18
GEORGETOWN	7	3	0	10
GREENVILLE	32	28	1	61
GREENWOOD	7	1	0	8
HAMPTON	4	2	0	6
HORRY	61	7	0	68
JASPER	1	0	0	1
KERSHAW	19	2	0	21
LANCASTER	8	3	0	11
LAURENS	18	3	0	21
LEE	2	1	0	3
LEXINGTON	40	9	0	49
McCORMICK	0	0	0	0
MARION	2	0	0	2
MARLBORO	0	0	0	0
NEWBERRY	4	2	0	6
OCONEE	24	4	0	28
ORANGEBURG	13	5	0	18
PICKENS	21	7	2	30
RICHLAND	34	10	0	44
SALUDA	1	0	0	1
SPARTANBURG	38	31	3	72
SUMTER	32	6	0	38
UNION	7	3	1	11
WILLIAMSBURG	1	1	0	2
YORK	31	6	0	37
	648	177	11	836

Note: Does not include offenders required to register but not currently under sex offender supervision.

Domestic Violence (DV) Offender Statistics
Offenders Under DV Supervision: 1,616

The department supervises offenders sentenced to community supervision by the Court of General Sessions convicted of the offense of Domestic Violence as defined in S.C. Code Section 16-25-10 through 530.

SCDPPPS utilized the **Domestic Violence Management Program** to supervise domestic violence offenders who are currently serving an active sentence for a domestic violence offense. Domestic Violence offenders are supervised according to positive or negative behavior utilizing the supervision levels of DV-1, DV-2, and DV-3. All DV offenders begin on DV-1 supervision level for the first 90 days of supervision. With positive behavior and compliance with supervision standards, the offender is transferred to DV-2 for next 90 days. Further compliance and positive behavior lead to DV-3 supervision level, where the offender will remain throughout the duration of supervision if no violations or non-compliance occurs. The level of supervision determines how often the Agent has contact with the offender.



Domestic Violence Offender Contact Standards

The level of supervision determines how often the agent has contact with the offender.

DV-1

- *1 home visit progress audit or field visit progress audit monthly*
- *1 group report monthly*
- *1 domestic violence treatment contact monthly*
- *1 face-to-face treatment contact per three months*
- *1 random drug and alcohol test monthly*
- *1 office visit progress audit monthly*

MEDIUM RISK

- *1 home visit progress audit or field visit progress audit monthly*
- *1 group report monthly*
- *1 domestic violence treatment contact monthly*
- *1 face-to-face treatment contact per three months*
- *1 random drug and alcohol test within this level*
- *1 office visit progress audit as needed*

HIGH RISK

- *1 home visit progress audit or field visit progress audit every other month*
- *1 group report monthly*
- *1 domestic violence treatment contact monthly if still enrolled*
- *1 face-to-face treatment contact if still enrolled*
- *Random drug and alcohol test with reason for cause*
- *1 office visit progress audit as needed*


Total Active Offenders Under Domestic Violence Supervision

County	DV-1	DV-2	DV-3	Total
ABBEVILLE	0	0	0	0
AIKEN	18	15	12	45
ALLENDALE	0	0	0	0
ANDERSON	11	11	8	30
BAMBERG	0	0	0	0
BARNWELL	0	0	0	0
BEAUFORT	0	0	0	0
BERKELEY	13	12	25	50
CALHOUN	0	0	0	0
CHARLESTON	44	31	92	167
CHEROKEE	14	9	16	39
CHESTER	0	0	0	0
CHESTERFIELD	0	0	0	0
CLARENDON	0	0	0	0
COLLETON	0	0	0	0
DARLINGTON	0	0	0	0
DILLON	0	0	0	0
DORCHESTER	14	11	49	74
EDGEFIELD	3	0	1	4
FAIRFIELD	0	0	0	0
FLORENCE	20	11	8	39
GEORGETOWN	5	1	2	8
GREENVILLE	43	50	121	214
GREENWOOD	9	18	15	42
HAMPTON	0	0	0	0
HORRY	17	9	14	40
JASPER	0	0	0	0
KERSHAW	0	0	0	0
LANCASTER	0	0	0	0
LAURENS	7	4	14	25
LEE	0	0	0	0
LEXINGTON	43	38	53	134
McCORMICK	0	1	0	1
MARION	1	0	3	4
MARLBORO	0	0	0	0
NEWBERRY	7	3	4	14
OCONEE	4	2	16	22
ORANGEBURG	25	31	80	136
PICKENS	10	11	20	41
RICHLAND	66	42	25	133
SALUDA	1	1	1	3
SPARTANBURG	82	66	102	250
SUMTER	6	17	5	28
UNION	2	2	13	17
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0	0	0
YORK	25	17	14	56
	490	413	713	1,616

DV Admissions by County

**Only includes counties participating in DV Program*

County	Admissions
AIKEN	23
ANDERSON	20
BERKELEY	31
CHARLESTON	68
CHEROKEE	31
DORCHESTER	59
EDGEFIELD	3
FLORENCE	32
GEORGETOWN	5
GREENVILLE	126
GREENWOOD	22
HORRY'	33
LAURENS	17
LEXINGTON	108
MCCORMICK	1
MARION	3
NEWBERRY	6
OCONEE	9
ORANGEBURG	47
PICKENS	34
RICHLAND	87
SALUDA	2
SPARTANBURG	156
SUMTER	17
UNION	4
YORK	46
	990



Mental Health (MH) Offender Statistics
Offenders Under MH Supervision: 307

The department supervises individuals with a suspected or diagnosed mental illness as recognized by the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition Text Revision (DSM-V-TR), to promote rehabilitation and protection of the public.

Once an offender has been identified as someone living with a mental illness and having some level of instability related to their mental illness, they may be placed on the mental health caseload. Offenders will move through supervision levels based on the length of mental health stability. The department utilizes five mental health supervision levels: Mental Health Intensive, Mental Health 1, Mental Health 2, Mental Health 3, and Mental Health 4. Mental Health Intensive (MH-Int) offenders are ordered by the Court, hearing officer, supervisor, parole board, or releasing authority. Mental Health 1-4 supervision levels are based on meeting certain criteria over the course of supervision and following a screening process.

Mental Health Offender Contact Standards

The level of supervision determines how often the agent has contact with the offender.

Mental Health Intensive	Mental Health 1	Mental Health 2	Mental Health 3
- 3 home visit progress audits or 2 home visit progress audits AND 1 home visit monthly. If homeless, 3 field visit progress audits. *- Treatment provider contact monthly.* *-Telephone progress audit biweekly.* *- Attendance at Support Council.* *- Obtain mental health records every three months.* *- Office contact as needed.* *- Drug test every other month minimum.*	*- 2 home visit progress audits or 1 home visit progress audits AND 1 home visit monthly. If homeless, 2 field visit progress audits.* *- Treatment provider contact monthly.* *-Telephone progress audit biweekly.* *- Attendance at Support Council.* *- Obtain mental health records every six months.* *- Office contact as needed.* *- Drug test every 3 months minimum.*	*- 1 home visit progress audit or field visit progress audit , with an home visit progress audit at least every other month.* *- Treatment provider contact monthly.* *-Telephone progress audit monthly.* *- Obtain mental health records every nine months.* *- Office contact as needed.* *- Drug test every 6 months minimum.*	*- 1 home visit progress audit bi-monthly* *- Treatment provider contact monthly.* *-Telephone progress audit mmonthly.* *- Obtain mental health records every twelve months.* *- Office contact as needed.* *- Drug test as needed.*

Active Mental Health Offenders

County	MH-Int	MH-1	MH-2	MH-3	MH-4	Total
ABBEVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0
AIKEN	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALLENDALE	0	0	0	0	0	0
ANDERSON	0	12	6	1	0	19
BAMBERG	0	0	0	0	0	0
BARNWELL	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEAUFORT	0	0	0	0	0	0
BERKELEY	0	9	4	2	1	16
CALHOUN	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHARLESTON	0	20	11	4	0	35
CHEROKEE	0	11	10	0	0	21
CHESTER	0	1	2	0	0	3
CHESTERFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLARENDON	0	6	2	3	0	11
COLLETON	0	0	0	0	0	0
DARLINGTON	0	3	4	1	0	8
DILLON	0	0	0	0	0	0
DORCHESTER	0	7	2	3	1	13
EDGEFIELD	0	0	0	0	0	0
FAIRFIELD	0	2	1	0	1	4
FLORENCE	0	12	1	1	0	14
GEORGETOWN	0	3	0	0	0	3
GREENVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREENWOOD	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAMPTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
HORRY	1	10	7	3	0	21
JASPER	0	0	0	0	0	0
KERSHAW	0	0	0	0	0	0
LANCASTER	0	1	2	0	0	3
LAURENS	0	0	0	0	0	0
LEE	0	2	1	0	0	3
LEXINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0
McCORMICK	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARION	0	0	2	0	0	2
MARLBORO	0	0	0	0	0	0
NEWBERRY	0	0	0	0	0	0
OCONEE	0	5	6	4	3	18
ORANGEBURG	0	0	0	0	0	0
PICKENS	0	6	16	5	0	27
RICHLAND	0	9	7	4	1	21
SALUDA	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPARTANBURG	0	12	13	3	0	28
SUMTER	0	3	9	3	4	19
UNION	0	3	5	0	0	8
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0	0	0	0	0
YORK	0	12	5	3	0	20
	1	149	116	40	11	317

FY2025 Other Statistics


GPS

Administrative Hearings

Monetary Collections

FY25 GPS Statistics
Total Active GPS: 1,018

The department utilizes electronic surveillance to monitor certain offenders. On June 8, 2006, Jessie's Law, a bill aimed at protecting the state's children through tougher penalties for sexual predators was signed into law with an effective date of July 1, 2006. Named after Jessica Marie Lunsford -- who was murdered in 2005 by a registered sex offender in Florida -- the law imposes a mandatory minimum of 25 years in prison for sexual predators and mandates active Global Positioning Satellite (GPS) monitoring for sex offenders convicted of certain offenses. GPS can pinpoint within 15 meters a person's position on Earth using 24 satellites in orbit at 11,000 nautical miles above the Earth. The satellites are owned and operated by the U. S. Department of Defense and continuously transmit signals which can be detected by anyone possessing a GPS receiver. The use of Active-GPS enhances public safety and provides a more modern and efficient way to ensure accountability and enforce home detention and curfews for those offenders requiring a heightened supervision strategy. Of the 1,018 offenders on Active GPS on July 3, 2025, 646 (63%) offenders were on GPS under Jessie's Law.



Total Pending GPS: 405

Pending population with GPS monitoring pursuant to Jessie's Law includes offenders in a status of institutionalized, jail, inpatient treatment, absconded, etc. This population is **not** currently hooked up to a GPS unit, but will be once released into the community or located.


FY25 Administrative Hearings

Total Administrative Hearings: 5,890

Statewide, 5,890 violation hearings were held during the fiscal year. At those hearings, 3,350 cases were continued or recommended for continuation, while 2,540 cases were revoked or recommended for revocation.



Offenders pay restitution, supervision fees, and fines just prior to their Administrative Hearing to avoid further sanctions. During FY25, $147,526.15 was collected in delinquent restitution payments, $270,181.60 in supervision fees, and $67,330.14 in court-ordered fines and fees. The total of $485,037.89 collected demonstrates the effectiveness of the administrative hearing process in bringing offenders who have the means to become compliant with their monetary obligations.




County	Revoked	Continued	Heard
ABBEVILLE	18	12	30
AIKEN	22	24	46
ALLENDALE	-	-	-
ANDERSON	101	216	317
BAMBERG	7	8	15
BARNWELL	2	4	6
BEAUFORT	3	1	4
BERKELEY	135	124	259
CALHOUN	10	35	45
CHARLESTON	163	203	366
CHEROKEE	132	145	277
CHESTER	14	15	29
CHESTERFIELD	7	4	11
CLARENDON	6	38	44
COLLETON	13	9	22
DARLINGTON	48	37	85
DILLON	9	6	15
DORCHESTER	86	135	221
EDGEFIELD	9	15	24
FAIRFIELD	22	26	48
FLORENCE	76	63	139
GEORGETOWN	10	24	34
GREENVILLE	391	411	802
GREENWOOD	17	21	38
HAMPTON	3	4	7
HORRY	130	126	256
JASPER	-	2	2
KERSHAW	14	45	59
LANCASTER	28	18	46
LAURENS	32	25	57
LEE	2	3	5
LEXINGTON	74	212	286
MARION	5	6	11
MARLBORO	-	2	2
MCCORMICK	-	-	-
NEWBERRY	25	11	36
OCONEE	72	185	257
ORANGEBURG	85	113	198
PICKENS	112	256	368
RICHLAND	173	121	294
SALUDA	7	7	14
SPARTANBURG	206	293	499
SUMTER	55	151	206
UNION	44	44	88
WILLIAMSBURG	18	42	60
YORK	154	108	262
Statewide Total	2,540	3,350	5,890

FY25 Collections Statistics
Total Collected: $17,136,096.48


Per statute SC 24-21-80, offenders are required to pay fees to help offset the cost of supervision. While supervision fees vary by level of supervision, most offenders are assessed $50 per supervision month. Over 15 million dollars was collected in various fees and fines during FY25.

Statewide Collections



Most monies collected were related to supervision fees (54%), followed by restitution (33%), Administrative Monitoring (5%), other fees (7%), and fines (<1%).


Statewide Collections by Method of Payment



The most popular methods of payment were by credit card (50%), followed by cash (30%), and cashier's check (3%). Eleven percent (11%) of all collections were through the Government Enterprise Accounts Receivable Program (GEAR).

Recidivism Rates

To calculate recidivism rates, offenders from an admission cohort are followed for three years from the start of their supervision. Thus, the most recent data available for analysis of recidivism is the FY22 admissions cohort. If the offender is admitted to SCDC within 3 years, the offender is considered to have recidivated.



Note: *To calculate recividivism rates, offenders from an admission cohort are followed for three years from the start of their supervision. If the offender is admitted to SCDC within 3 years, the offender is considered to have recidivated.*

